

1 Type of Papers: Original Articles

2 Title: Morphological, immune and genetic features in biopsy sample associated with the efficacy of

3 pembrolizumab in patients with non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer

4

5 Authors: Tetsuya Sakai,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Hibiki Udagawa,<sup>a</sup> Shingo Matsumoto,<sup>a</sup> Kiyotaka Yoh,<sup>a</sup> Kaname Nosaki,<sup>a</sup>

6 Takaya Ikeda,<sup>a</sup> Yoshitaka Zenke,<sup>a</sup> Keisuke Kirita,<sup>a</sup> Seiji Niho,<sup>a</sup> Tetsuo Akimoto,<sup>b,d</sup> Koichi Goto,<sup>a</sup> and

7 Genichiro Ishii<sup>b,c</sup>

8

9 Affiliations:

10 <sup>a</sup>Department of Thoracic Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Kashiwa, Japan

11 <sup>b</sup>Course of Advanced Clinical Research of Cancer, Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine,

12 Tokyo, Japan

13 <sup>c</sup>Department of Pathology and Clinical Laboratories, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Kashiwa,

14 Japan

15 <sup>d</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology and Particle Therapy, National Cancer Center Hospital East,

16 Kashiwa, Japan

17

1 Corresponding author:

2 Hibiki Udagawa, MD, PhD

3 Department of Thoracic Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital East

4 6-5-1 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-0882, Japan

5 E-mail address: [hudagawa@east.ncc.go.jp](mailto:hudagawa@east.ncc.go.jp)

6 Fax: +81-4-7131-4724, Tel: +81-4-7133-1111

7

8 The 16-digit ORCID of author:

9 0000-0001-5884-2735

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 **Abstract**

2 **Introduction** Usefulness of the histopathology of biopsy samples for predicting the efficacy of  
3 immunotherapy in non-squamous, non-small cell lung cancer (NSq NSCLC) patients remains unclear.

4 **Methods** We retrospectively investigated the associations between the histopathological features in biopsy  
5 samples and survival outcomes in advanced NSq NSCLC patients receiving pembrolizumab. NSq NSCLC  
6 was classified histopathologically as morphological adenocarcinoma or non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC:  
7 absence of definitive features of either adenocarcinoma or a squamous morphology). We investigated the  
8 association between the tumor morphological features and immune/genetic features by examining the  
9 tumor PD-L1 expression and tumor mutation burden (TMB).

10 **Results** Among 33 advanced NSq NSCLC patients with tumor PD-L1 scores  $\geq 50\%$  receiving  
11 pembrolizumab as first-line therapy, a biopsy diagnosis of NSCC was associated with a significantly longer  
12 progression-free survival (median, 16.8 vs. 2.3 months; hazard ratio [HR], 0.26; 95% CI 0.10-0.62,  $P=0.01$ )  
13 and overall survival (median, NR vs. 10.1 months; HR, 0.35; 0.12-0.97,  $P=0.04$ ) as compared to that of  
14 morphological adenocarcinoma. In an analysis of 367 biopsy samples, the NSCC group showed a higher  
15 percentage of samples with PD-L1 scores  $\geq 50\%$  than the morphological adenocarcinoma group (35% vs.  
16 10%). The NSCC group ( $n=8$ ) also showed a significantly higher TMB than the morphological  
17 adenocarcinoma group ( $n=7$ ) (median 236 vs. 25 mutations/whole-exome,  $P=0.01$ ).

18 **Conclusion** Absence of definitive morphological features in a biopsy sample could be a useful predictor of

1 the efficacy of pembrolizumab in NSq NSCLC patients with tumor PD-L1 scores  $\geq 50\%$ , as these tumors  
2 are likely to show high tumor PD-L1 expression and high TMB.

3 **Keywords:**

4 Lung cancer, Pembrolizumab, Morphological features, PD-L1, TMB

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 **Declarations:**

2 **Funding:**

3 This research did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-  
4 for-profit sectors.

5 **Conflicts of interest/Competing interests:**

6 T. Sakai reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, outside the submitted work, H. Udagawa reports grants  
7 and personal fees from MSD, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from Abbvie, grants  
8 and personal fees from Daiichisankyo, grants and personal fees from AMGEN, personal fees from  
9 Boehringer Ingelheim, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Chugai, personal  
10 fees from ONO, personal fees from Springer Japan, personal fees from Amco, personal fees from Taiho,  
11 outside the submitted work, K. Nosaki reports personal fees from MSD K.K., during the conduct of the  
12 study; personal fees from Nippon Kayaku Co., Ltd., personal fees from AstraZeneca K.K., personal fees  
13 from Bristol-Myers Squibb, personal fees from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., personal fees from Eli  
14 Lilly Japan K.K., personal fees from Pfizer Japan Inc., personal fees from Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.,  
15 outside the submitted work, Y. Zenke reports grants and personal fees from MSD, during the conduct of the  
16 study; grants from Merck, personal fees from Boehringer-Ingelhaeim, personal fees from Astrazeneca,  
17 personal fees from chugai, personal fees from Ono Pharmaceutical, personal fees from Bristol-Myers  
18 Squibb, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from TAIHO Pharmaceutical, outside the submitted work,

1 K. Kirita reports grants and personal fees from MSD, during the conduct of the study; grants and personal  
2 fees from Pfizer, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees  
3 from Novartis, personal fees from Olympus, personal fees from Boston Scientific, personal fees from  
4 Amuco, personal fees from Kyowa Hakko Kirin, personal fees from Meiji Seika Kaisha, grants and  
5 personal fees from Chugai Pharma, outside the submitted work, S. Matsumoto reports grants from MSD,  
6 during the conduct of the study; grants from Novartis, grants from Chugai Pharma, grants from Merck,  
7 outside the submitted work, K. Yoh reports grants from MSD, during the conduct of the study; grants and  
8 personal fees from Chugai Pharma , grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca , grants and personal fees  
9 from Lilly, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim , grants and personal fees from Ono Pharmaceutical ,  
10 grants and personal fees from Novartis , grants from Bayer , grants from Pfizer , grants and personal fees  
11 from MSD, grants and personal fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb, grants and personal fees from Taiho  
12 Pharmaceutical, personal fees from Kyowa Kirin, grants and personal fees from Daiichi Sankyo, grants  
13 from Takeda, outside the submitted work, S. Niho reports grants and personal fees from MSD, during the  
14 conduct of the study; grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from Pfizer,  
15 grants and personal fees from Eli Lilly, grants and personal fees from Chugai, personal fees from  
16 Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Taiho, personal fees from Bristol Myers Squibb, personal fees  
17 from Novartis, personal fees from Shionogi, personal fees from Ono, grants from Merck Biopharma,  
18 outside the submitted work, K. Goto reports grants and personal fees from MSD K.K., during the conduct  
19 of the study; grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca K.K, grants and personal fees from Pfizer Japan

1 Inc., grants from Merck Biopharma Co., Ltd., grants and personal fees from Eli Lilly Japan K.K., grants  
2 from Xcoo, Inc., grants and personal fees from Thermo Fisher Scientific K.K., grants and personal fees  
3 from Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., grants and personal fees from Novartis Pharma K.K., grants and  
4 personal fees from TAIHO PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., grants and personal fees from CHUGAI  
5 PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., grants and personal fees from Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., Ltd.,  
6 grants and personal fees from Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, personal fees from Otsuka  
7 Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., grants from Astellas Pharma Inc., grants from Guardant Health Inc., grants and  
8 personal fees from Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., grants and personal fees from Kyowa Kirin Co., Ltd.,  
9 grants and personal fees from DAIICHI SANKYO Co., Ltd., grants from Eisai Co., Ltd, grants from  
10 Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma Co., Ltd., grants from RIKEN GENESIS CO., LTD., grants from Ignyta, Inc.,  
11 grants from Loxo Oncology, Inc., grants from Sysmex Corporation, grants from MEDICAL &  
12 BIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES CO., LTD., grants from Amgen Inc, outside the submitted work, G.  
13 Ishii reports grants from Miraca holdings, grants from Ono, grants from Daiichisankyo, outside the  
14 submitted work.

15 The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

16 **Ethics approval:**

17 This study was conducted with the approval of the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer  
18 Center. The approval number for this study was 2019-098 and 2018-134. All the methods were performed  
19 in accordance with the approved guidelines.

1    **Consent to participate:**

2    All the specimens and data were collected after obtaining written comprehensive informed consent from  
3    the patients.

4    **Consent to publication:**

5    Consent was obtained

6    **Authors contributions**

7    TS prepared the manuscript, HU designed the concepts and revised the manuscript. All authors read and  
8    approved the final manuscript.

9

10   **Acknowledgements**

11   The testing of whole-exome sequencing was performed as part of the Lung Cancer Genomic Screening  
12   Project for Individualized Medicine Immuno-Oncology Biomarker Study (LC-SCRUM-IBIS), which  
13   was supported by AstraZeneca (A20166-083), Bristol-Myers Squibb (A2017-171), Chugai (A2016-  
14   106), Ono (A2016-168).

15

16

17

## 1 **Introduction**

2 Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide.(Siegel  
3 et al. 2018) Inhibitors of programmed death-1 (PD-1) and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) have  
4 recently become therapeutic options for metastatic NSCLC. Among advanced NSCLC patients with a PD-  
5 L1 score  $\geq 50\%$ , pembrolizumab significantly improves the progression-free survival (PFS), compared with  
6 platinum-based chemotherapy, and has become a standard of care regimen for first-line treatment.(Reck et  
7 al. 2016) Furthermore, the addition of pembrolizumab to standard platinum-based chemotherapy has also  
8 shown a survival benefit in untreated, metastatic NSCLC patients regardless of the PD-L1 score (Gandhi  
9 et al. 2018); (Paz-Ares et al. 2018).

10 Several predictive markers of the efficacy of pembrolizumab, such as PD-L1 expression, tumor-  
11 mutation burden (TMB) and DNA mismatch-repair (MMR) deficiency, have already been reported (Ott et  
12 al. 2019). From the perspective of histopathology, only a few studies have shown that pleomorphic  
13 carcinoma diagnosed using “surgical specimens” responds remarkably to pembrolizumab (Kim et al.  
14 2015);(Ikematsu et al. 2017). No study has shown a relationship between histopathological features in  
15 “biopsy samples” and the efficacy of pembrolizumab. Depending on the presence of morphological features,  
16 biopsy samples of non-squamous (NSq) cell NSCLC are classified as morphological adenocarcinoma or  
17 non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC), the latter of which is characterized by the absence of both a definite  
18 adenocarcinoma and a squamous morphology. NSCC is further classified as NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma  
19 or NSCC not-otherwise-specified (NOS) based on immunohistochemical features (Travis et al. 2015).

1 However, the relationship between these histopathological features and the efficacy of pembrolizumab is  
2 not well understood. The evaluations of PD-L1 expression, TMB and DNA MMR deficiency cost time and  
3 money because of the need to perform additional immunohistochemistry (IHC) or next-generation  
4 sequencing studies (Ott et al. 2019); (Rizvi et al. 2018);(Rizvi et al. 2015);(Hellmann et al. 2018); (Le et al.  
5 2015). On the other hand, the evaluation of histopathological features is universally available and easily  
6 applicable. If histopathological features are associated with favorable factor to PD-1 blockade, and could  
7 be a biomarker for the efficacy of pembrolizumab, this finding would have a meaningful impact on clinical  
8 practice. Thus, it is very important to evaluate the relationship between histopathological features and the  
9 efficacy of pembrolizumab among patients with NSq NSCLC.

10 Furthermore, several previous studies have reported that the immune and genetic features of the  
11 tumors differ depending on the histopathological features in the “resected surgical specimens”; according  
12 to these reports, the acinar or solid predominant subtype in resected surgical specimens is associated with  
13 a higher PD-L1 score and the solid predominant subtype is associated with a higher TMB than other  
14 subtypes (Takada et al. 2016);(Dong et al. 2018; Miyazawa et al. 2019). Nevertheless, in the majority of  
15 patients with advanced NSCLC, histopathological diagnoses must generally be performed using “biopsy  
16 samples.” Few studies have investigated the relationship between the histopathological features and the  
17 immune and genetic features in biopsy samples. Therefore, we evaluated the relationship between the  
18 histopathological features in biopsy samples and the tumor PD-L1 expression and TMB in patients with  
19 NSq NSCLC.

1 **Materials and methods**

2 This study was conducted with the approval of the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Center  
3 (approval number 2019-098 and 2018-134).

4

5 ***Patient selection***

6 Patients with unresectable or recurrent NSq NSCLC whose PD-L1 expression levels in biopsy samples  
7 were evaluated and who had started pembrolizumab treatment as a first- to third-line therapy at the National  
8 Cancer Center Hospital East, Japan, between February 2017 and October 2018 were selected to investigate  
9 the predictive impact of histopathological features on survival outcomes after treatment with  
10 pembrolizumab. The biopsy methods included transbronchial biopsy, endobronchial ultrasound-guided  
11 transbronchial needle aspiration, core needle biopsy, and surgical biopsy of metastatic sites. Because the  
12 efficacy of pembrolizumab is related to the intensity of PD-L1 expression and the treatment line,(Lang et  
13 al. 2019) patients with a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  who had been treated with pembrolizumab as a first-line  
14 therapy and patients with a PD-L1 score of 1%-49% who had been treated with pembrolizumab as a second-  
15 or third-line therapy were analyzed separately. We defined chemotherapy for metastatic disease or  
16 recurrence in patients who had completed definitive chemoradiotherapy more than 6 months earlier as first-  
17 line therapy, while chemotherapy for metastatic disease or recurrence in patients who had completed  
18 definitive chemoradiotherapy within 6 months was defined as second-line therapy. The clinical

1 characteristics and clinical courses were retrospectively retrieved from the patients' medical records.

2 In addition, to investigate the association between the histopathological features and the PD-L1  
3 expression, patients with unresectable or recurrent NSq NSCLC whose PD-L1 expression levels in biopsy  
4 samples were evaluated using IHC between February 2016 and October 2018 at the National Cancer  
5 Hospital East, Japan, were also selected. Furthermore, to examine the association between the  
6 histopathological features in biopsy samples and the TMB, TMB data were obtained from the Lung Cancer  
7 Genomic Screening Project for Individualized Medicine Immuno-Oncology Biomarker Study (LC-  
8 SCRUM-IBIS) (UMIN000026425) database and patients in whom the histopathological features in biopsy  
9 samples could be evaluated were selected at the National Cancer Hospital East, Japan, between February  
10 2016 and May 2018.

11

### 12 ***Histopathological definition***

13 Each patient's hematoxylin-and-eosin (H-E)-stained slides were reviewed independently by two  
14 pathologists (T.S and G.I) to determine the histopathological diagnosis (morphological adenocarcinoma or  
15 NSCC) based on morphological features according to the 4th edition of the World Health Organization  
16 Classification of Lung Tumors (Travis et al. 2015). When there were discrepancies between the two  
17 pathologists in the assignment of the histopathological diagnosis based on morphological features were  
18 later resolved by consensus on a multiple-headed microscope. Morphological adenocarcinoma was defined

1 as a tumor with a definite adenocarcinoma morphology, such as the appearance of lepidic, papillary,  
2 micropapillary, and/or acinar architectures using H-E staining. NSCC was defined as a tumor with the  
3 absence of both a definite adenocarcinoma and squamous morphology using H-E staining. NSCC was  
4 further classified based on immunohistochemical features as NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma or NSCC-NOS  
5 also according to the 4th edition of the World Health Organization Classification of Lung Tumors (Travis  
6 et al. 2015). NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma was defined as an NSCC tumor with positive results for an  
7 adenocarcinoma marker, such as TTF-1 and/or mucin. NSCC-NOS was defined as an NSCC tumor with  
8 negative results for adenocarcinoma and squamous markers, such as p40 (Supplemental Figure1,2).  
9 Possible large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma, which is an NSCC tumor with a neuroendocrine morphology  
10 and neuroendocrine marker positivity, was excluded. In morphological adenocarcinoma, the predominant  
11 subtype was decided based on the subtype that occupies the largest area of tumors. Subtype was decided  
12 based on the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European  
13 Respiratory Society classification of lung adenocarcinoma (Travis et al. 2011). The tumor which showed  
14 just only solid pattern of growth without a definitive adenocarcinoma morphology was not classified in  
15 morphological adenocarcinoma but in NSCC.

16

### 17 ***Immunohistochemistry***

18 All the samples were stained using alcian blue PAS stain to evaluate the presence of mucin. Samples without  
19 definite morphological features were stained using TTF-1 (anti-thyroid transcription factor-1 SP141; Roche

1   Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) and p40 (Anti-p40-DeltaNp63 antibody BC28; Abcam, Cambridge,  
2   UK) to distinguish between NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma and NSCC-NOS. PD-L1 IHC was performed for  
3   all the samples using the PD-L1 kit (PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharm DX; Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) according  
4   to the manufacturer's instructions. The PD-L1 score was evaluated based on the proportion of tumor cells  
5   (TCs) exhibiting positive membrane staining of any intensity. The stained slides in each patient were  
6   reviewed independently by two pathologists (T.S. and G.I.) and the tumor PD-L1 score was categorized as  
7   <1%, 1%-49%,  $\geq 50\%$ , or  $\geq 75\%$ , according to the percentage of cells showing positive staining of the cell  
8   membrane.

9

#### 10   ***Whole-exome sequencing***

11   We used whole-exome sequencing (WES) data from the LC-SCRUM-IBIS database and patients in whom  
12   the histopathological features could be evaluated in biopsy samples were selected. The WES data were used  
13   to generate the TMB, which was defined as the total number of non-synonymous somatic mutations present  
14   in the baseline tumor sample.

15

#### 16   ***Statistical analysis***

17   The chi-squared test and *t* test were used to compare the clinicopathological features and the PD-L1 score  
18   or TMB among the patients with morphological adenocarcinoma or NSCC. Overall survival (OS) was

1 defined as the interval between the date of the initiation of pembrolizumab treatment and the date of death  
2 from any cause or the last follow-up. PFS was defined as the time between the date of the initiation of  
3 pembrolizumab treatment and the date of the detection of disease progression, death from any cause, or the  
4 last follow-up. The survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences in OS  
5 and PFS were compared using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed using  
6 the Cox proportional hazards model. The survival data was last updated on August 30, 2019. The objective  
7 clinical response was assessed according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1.  
8 The chi-squared test was used to compare the overall response rate (ORR) and the disease control rate  
9 (DCR). All differences in *P* values were two sided, and a *P* value of <0.05 was considered as denoting  
10 statistical significance. All the statistical analyses were performed using the JMP statistical software  
11 program, Ver. 14 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

12

## 13 **Results**

### 14 *Association between the histopathological features of NSCLC and survival outcomes of pembrolizumab* 15 *treatment*

16 A total of 52 patients with unresectable or recurrent NSq NSCLC whose PD-L1 expression levels were  
17 evaluated using biopsy samples were treated with pembrolizumab monotherapy. Among these 52 patients,  
18 22 patients (42%) were diagnosed as having morphological adenocarcinoma and 30 patients (58%) were

1 diagnosed as having NSCC, characterized by the absence of definitive morphological features; 33 patients  
2 with a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  were treated with pembrolizumab as a first-line therapy, and 19 patients with a  
3 PD-L1 score of 1%-49% were treated with pembrolizumab as a second- or third-line therapy.

4 Among the patients with a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  who had been treated with pembrolizumab as a first-  
5 line therapy, the proportion of patients with a PD-L1 score quartile of 75%-100% was significantly higher  
6 among the patients with NSCC than among those with morphological adenocarcinoma (74% vs. 21%,  
7  $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 1). One morphological adenocarcinoma patient harboring an *EGFR* exon20 insertion  
8 mutation was treated with pembrolizumab as a first-line treatment. At the time of the data analysis, 15  
9 patients had died, 18 patients were alive (9 patients had disease progression), and only 1 patient was lost to  
10 follow-up after disease progression. The median follow-up period of the patients who were still alive was  
11 16.7 months. Among them, NSCC was associated with a significantly longer PFS (median, 16.8 vs. 2.3  
12 months; HR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.10-0.62;  $P = 0.01$ ; Figure 1A) and a significantly longer OS (median, NR vs.  
13 10.1 months; HR, 0.35; 95% CI, 0.12-0.97;  $P = 0.04$ ; Figure 1B) compared with morphological  
14 adenocarcinoma. On the other hand, when the patients were stratified according to PD-L1 score quartiles,  
15 no significant difference in the PFS or OS were observed between patients with PD-L1 scores of 50%-74%  
16 and 75%-100% (PFS: median, 7.9 vs. 12.0 months; HR, 1.70; 95% CI, 0.74-3.98;  $P = 0.20$ ; Figure 1C; OS:  
17 median, NR vs. 17.1 months; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.22-1.84;  $P = 0.43$ ; Figure 1D). In a univariate analysis,  
18 only NSCC was associated with a longer PFS and OS (Table 2). NSCC was the only independent factor for  
19 a longer PFS that remained in the multivariate analysis. Similarly, NSCC was also an independent factor

1 for a longer OS in a multivariate analysis including other clinical factors, with the exception of brain  
2 metastasis (Table 3). The overall response rate and the disease control rate were 68% (95% CI, 43-87) and  
3 84% (95% CI, 60-97), respectively, among the patients with NSCC and 29% (95% CI, 8-58) and 43% (95%  
4 CI, 18-71), respectively, among the patients with morphological adenocarcinoma. The response rate and  
5 the disease control rates were significantly higher among the patients with NSCC, compared with the  
6 patients with morphological adenocarcinoma ( $P=0.04$  and  $P=0.02$ , respectively).

7 A total of 19 patients with a PD-L1 score of 1%-49% who were treated with pembrolizumab as a  
8 second- or third-line therapy (Supplemental Table 1). Fourteen patients had died and 5 patients were alive  
9 (3 patients had disease progression after pembrolizumab treatment); the median follow-up period of the  
10 patients who were still alive was 24.4 months. No significant difference in PFS (median, 4.4 vs. 3.2 months;  
11 HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.28-2.13;  $P=0.64$ ; Supplemental Figure 3A) or OS (median, 5.8 vs. 10.4 months; HR,  
12 1.58; 95% CI, 0.41-5.26;  $P=0.40$ ; Supplemental Figure 3B) was seen between the patients with NSCC and  
13 those with morphological adenocarcinoma.

14

15 *Association between the histopathological features of NSCLC and the PD-L1 expression in biopsy*  
16 *samples*

17 First, we evaluated the association between the histopathological features of NSCLC and the PD-L1  
18 expression in biopsy samples to investigate the reason for the better survival outcomes of pembrolizumab

1 treatment in patients with a biopsy diagnosis of NSCC. PD-L1 IHC was performed in biopsy samples from  
2 a total of 379 patients with unresectable or recurrent NSq NSCLC. Three patients whose samples were  
3 diagnosed as possible large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma were excluded. Nine patients were excluded  
4 from the analysis because their samples were inadequate for evaluating the PD-L1 score. Finally, 367  
5 patients were included in this study.

6 Two hundred and twenty patients (60%) were diagnosed as having morphological adenocarcinoma, and  
7 147 patients (40%) were diagnosed as having NSCC, characterized by the absence of definitive  
8 morphological features (Supplemental Table 2). Among the patients with NSCC, the proportions of men  
9 (70% vs. 50%,  $P<0.01$ ) and ever smokers (80% vs. 60%,  $P<0.01$ ) were significantly higher than those  
10 among the patients with morphological adenocarcinoma. The proportion of patients who tested positive for  
11 epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) mutations (21% vs. 43%,  $P<0.01$ ) was significantly lower in the  
12 NSCC group than in the morphological adenocarcinoma group. Among the 147 patients with NSCC, 114  
13 patients (78%) were classified as NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma and 33 patients (22%) were classified as  
14 NSCC-NOS (Supplemental Table 3).

15 The NSCC samples had a lower rate of a PD-L1 score  $<1\%$  (41% vs. 71%) and a higher rate of a PD-  
16 L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  (35% vs. 10%) than the morphological adenocarcinoma samples ( $P<0.01$ , respectively)  
17 (Table 4). In the *EGFR*-mutation positive and the negative/unknown patients, the NSCC samples also had  
18 lower rates of a PD-L1 score  $<1\%$  (42% vs. 75% and 41% vs. 67%, respectively) and higher rates of a PD-  
19 L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  (29% vs. 7% and 36% vs. 13%, respectively) ( $P<0.01$  and  $P<0.01$ , respectively), compared

1 with the morphological adenocarcinoma samples. Furthermore, among samples with PD-L1 scores of  
2  $\geq 50\%$ , the group diagnosed as NSCC s showed a higher percentage of samples with PD-L1 scores of 75%-  
3 100% as compared to the group diagnosed as morphological adenocarcinoma (49% vs. 26%,  $P < 0.01$ )  
4 (Table 4).

5 There were no significant differences in the rates of a PD-L1 score  $< 1\%$  (40% vs. 46%) and a PD-L1  
6 score  $\geq 50\%$  (37% vs. 24%) between the NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma and the NSCC-NOS samples  
7 ( $P = 0.34$ ). Among the *EGFR*-mutation positive and negative/unknown patients, there was also no difference  
8 in the rates of a PD-L1 score  $< 1\%$  and  $\geq 50\%$  between the NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma and NSCC-NOS  
9 samples (Supplemental Table 4). When morphological adenocarcinoma was classified based on the  
10 predominant subtype, the rate of a PD-L1 score  $< 1\%$  was highest in the samples with a lepidic predominant  
11 subtype, while the rate of a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  was highest in the samples with a solid predominant subtype  
12 (Supplemental Table 5).

13

#### 14 ***Association between the histopathological features of NSCLC and the TMB in biopsy samples***

15 Next, we evaluated the association between the histopathological features of NSCLC and the TMB in  
16 biopsy samples to investigate the reason for the better survival outcomes of pembrolizumab treatment in  
17 patients with a biopsy diagnosis of NSCC. A total of 15 patients in whom both the TMB and  
18 histopathological features in biopsy samples were evaluated were included in this study. Of the 15 samples,

1 the biopsy diagnosis was morphological adenocarcinoma in 7 patients and NSCC in 8 patients. The TMB  
2 was significantly higher in the NSCC group than in the morphological adenocarcinoma group (median 236  
3 vs. 25 mutations/whole-exome;  $P=0.01$ ; Figure 2).

## 4 5 **Discussion**

6 Our results showed that the absence of definitive morphological features in biopsy samples could be a  
7 predictive biomarker for the efficacy of pembrolizumab as a first-line therapy in NSq NSCLC patients with  
8 a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$ . To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between  
9 histopathological features in a biopsy sample and the efficacy of immunotherapy including pembrolizumab  
10 in patients with NSCLC. Several previous studies have shown an association between the absence of  
11 definitive morphological features in NSCLC and a poor outcome of chemotherapy other than  
12 immunotherapy (Pelosi et al. 2014; Shiran et al. 2017; Ujiie et al. 2015; Warth et al. 2012). Our result, that  
13 the absence of definitive morphological features in NSq NSCLC was associated with a better outcome,  
14 reflects a specific characteristic of immunotherapy. As plausible reasons for the better survival outcomes  
15 of pembrolizumab treatment in cases with the absence of definitive morphological features, we found that  
16 the group with biopsy samples not showing definitive morphological features showed a lower percentage  
17 of samples with a PD-L1 score of  $<1\%$ , higher percentage of samples with PD-L1 scores of  $\geq 50\%$ , and also  
18 a higher TMB than the group with biopsy samples diagnosed as morphological adenocarcinoma. This is  
19 the first report to show an association between the histopathological features and the PD-L1 expression and

1 TMB in biopsy samples. These results were similar to those of previous studies, which showed relationships  
2 between the PD-L1 expression and the histopathological features, and between the TMB and the  
3 histopathological features in surgical specimens (Takada et al. 2016);(Miyazawa et al. 2019);(Dong et al.  
4 2018).

5 In this study, we showed that biopsy samples without definitive morphological features were  
6 significantly associated with a lower rate of a PD-L1 score <1% and a higher rate of a PD-L1 score  $\geq$ 50%  
7 than biopsy samples with definitive morphological features, regardless of the *EGFR*-mutation status.  
8 Furthermore, among morphological adenocarcinoma, we also showed relationships between the PD-L1  
9 score and the predominant subtype. In surgical specimens, the association between the histopathological  
10 features and the PD-L1 expression has been analyzed in several studies (Miyazawa et al. 2019; Takada et  
11 al. 2016). In those studies, the rate of PD-L1 positivity was higher for the solid predominant subtype than  
12 for the other subtypes. Therefore, our result that the absence of definitive morphological features was  
13 associated with a higher PD-L1 scoring category was concordant with the findings of previous studies.  
14 Thus, these results were observed not only in surgical specimens, but also in biopsy specimens.

15 A high TMB was identified as biomarkers of the efficacy of immunotherapy in NSCLC. In the  
16 CheckMate-568 study, which evaluated the association between the TMB and the efficacy of nivolumab  
17 plus ipilimumab as a first-line treatment in 288 NSCLC patients, the patients with a high TMB had a better  
18 ORR (44% vs. 12%) and PFS (mPFS, 7.1 vs. 2.6 months) than those with a low TMB.(Ready et al. 2019)  
19 Similarly, a high TMB was associated with a longer PFS of pembrolizumab treatment in several studies of

1 patients with NSCLC (Rizvi et al. 2015; Roszik et al. 2016). Furthermore, a systematic review also reported  
2 that a high TMB was associated with greater clinical benefits, particularly in terms of ORR and PFS, among  
3 patients receiving immunotherapy (Willis et al. 2019). The relationships between the histopathological  
4 features and the TMB were reported in some studies. In surgical specimens, some studied reported that  
5 solid predominant adenocarcinoma was associated with a high TMB (Dong et al. 2018; Rekhman et al.  
6 2013). Moreover, we previously reported an association between morphological features in biopsy samples  
7 and the predominant subtype in resected surgical specimens (Matsuzawa et al. 2016). In that study,  
8 approximately 70% of the NSCC corresponded to solid predominant adenocarcinoma in the surgical  
9 specimens. Furthermore, our WES results demonstrated that NSCC correlated with higher TMB than that  
10 with morphological adenocarcinoma. Thus, it is considered that the absence of definitive morphological  
11 features in biopsy specimens was associated with a high TMB.

12 It has been reported previously that patients with tumor PD-L1 scores of 75%-100% showed a  
13 significantly longer PFS of pembrolizumab therapy as compared to patients with tumor PD-L1 scores of  
14 50%-74% (Aguilar et al. 2019). The percentage of samples with PD-L1 scores of  $\geq 75\%$  was higher among  
15 samples diagnosed as NSCC as compared to those diagnosed as morphological adenocarcinoma in our  
16 study. However, no significant difference in the PFS or OS was observed between patients with PD-L1  
17 scores of 75%-100% and 50%-74%. Furthermore, a bivariate analysis of patients with PD-L1 scores of  
18 50%-74% and 75%-100% identified histopathological diagnosis of NSCC as an independent predictor of a  
19 longer PFS and OS. Thus, the differences in the PFS and OS of pembrolizumab treatment between patients

1 with a biopsy diagnosis of NSCC and those with a biopsy diagnosis of morphological adenocarcinoma  
2 cannot be considered to be attributable to the distribution of the PD-L1 score between the two groups alone.  
3 It is possible that NSCC, which is characterized by the absence of definitive morphological features in  
4 biopsy samples, is associated with a high tumor expression of PD-L1 and a high TMB, just like solid  
5 predominant adenocarcinoma, which is the histopathological equivalent of NSCC in resected surgical  
6 specimens. Thus, we suggest that the absence of definitive morphological features in biopsy samples could  
7 be a universally available and easily applicable factor that predicts a high tumor expression of PD-L1 and  
8 a high TMB. For that reason, the absence of definitive morphological features in biopsy samples may have  
9 been a biomarker of the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors, including pembrolizumab.

10 On the other hand, among the patients with a PD-L1 score of 1%-49%, the absence of definitive  
11 morphological features was not a predictive biomarker of the efficacy of pembrolizumab in our study. It is  
12 unclear what caused the difference in the impact of the absence of definitive morphological features as a  
13 predictive biomarker of the efficacy of pembrolizumab between patients with a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  and  
14 those with a PD-L1 score of 1%-49%. To our knowledge, a subgroup analysis of CheckMate 026 is the  
15 only study to focus on the difference in the predictive impact of biomarkers of immunotherapy, such as  
16 TMB or INF-gamma, between patients with a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  and those with a PD-L1 score of 1%-  
17 49%, even though a statistical analysis was not performed. In a subgroup analysis of CheckMate 026,  
18 patients with a high TMB and a PD-L1 score  $\geq 50\%$  had a higher response rate to nivolumab (75%) than  
19 those with a low TMB and a PD-L score  $\geq 50\%$  (34%), those with a high TMB and a PD-L score of 1%-

1 49% (32%), and those with a low TMB and a PD-L score of 1%-49% (16%).(Carbone et al. 2017) We  
2 considered that the absence of definitive morphological features in biopsy samples might be a meaningful  
3 predictive biomarker of the efficacy of pembrolizumab when used in combination with a PD-L1 score  
4  $\geq 50\%$ .

5 This study had some limitations. This was a single center study with a limited sample size. Owing to  
6 the small number of patients with NSCC-NOS, we could not evaluate the difference in the efficacy of  
7 pembrolizumab between NSCC-favor adenocarcinoma and NSCC-NOS, and this matter warrants further  
8 evaluation using a larger cohort. Furthermore, this study had a retrospective design. Therefore, the  
9 possibility of an unintentional selection bias cannot be fully excluded. Consequently, the present findings  
10 need to be confirmed in a prospective study. In our study, we only analyzed biopsy samples. It is possible  
11 that small biopsy samples will not always result in an accurate morphological classification, because of  
12 tumor heterogeneity and potential sampling bias. However, we had decided morphological classification  
13 by independent two pathologists according to WHO Classification and our previous study demonstrated  
14 that small biopsy sample could represent the morphological feature of entire tumor (Matsuzawa et al.  
15 2016), our classification of morphological adenocarcinoma and NSCC was thought to be reproducible.

16

## 17 **Conclusion**

18 The absence of definitive morphological features in biopsy samples was associated with a high tumor

1 expression of PD-L1 and a high TMB, and could be a predictive biomarker of the efficacy of  
2 pembrolizumab monotherapy as first-line therapy in NSq NSCLC patients with PD-L1 scores of  $\geq 50\%$ .  
3 This universally available and easily applicable biomarker could enable rational decisions to be made  
4 regarding the use of pembrolizumab monotherapy in individual patients.

5

## 6 **Figure Legends**

7 Figure 1. Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with a tumor PD-L1  
8 score of  $\geq 50\%$  treated with pembrolizumab as first-line treatment.

9 (A) PFS according to the biopsy diagnosis of non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC) or morphological  
10 adenocarcinoma (Morpho AD).

11 (B) OS according to the biopsy diagnosis of non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC) or morphological  
12 adenocarcinoma (Morpho AD).

13 (C) PFS according to tumor PD-L1 scores of 50%-74% and 75%-100%.

14 (D) OS according to tumor PD-L1 scores of 50%-74% and 75%-100%.

15 Figure 2. Correlation between the tumor-mutation burden (TMB) and a biopsy diagnosis of  
16 morphological adenocarcinoma (Morpho AD) versus non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC).

17

1 Supplemental Figure 1. Algorithm for histopathological diagnosis

2

3 Supplemental Figure 2. Representative slides showing morphological adenocarcinoma, NSCC-favor

4 AD and NSCC-NOS.

5 (A) Morphological adenocarcinoma with acinar pattern.

6 (B)(C) NSCC-favor AD. IHC shows TTF-1 positive.

7 (D)(E) NSCC-NOS. IHC shows TTF-1 negative.

8

9 Supplemental Figure 3. Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with a

10 biopsy diagnosis of non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC) versus morphological adenocarcinoma

11 (Morpho AD).

12 (A) PFS in patients with a PD-L1 score of 1%-49% who were treated with pembrolizumab as second-

13 or third-line treatment.

14 (B) OS in patients with a PD-L1 score of 1%-49% who were treated with pembrolizumab as second-

15 or third-line treatment.

16

17

## 1   **References**

- 2   Aguilar EJ, Ricciuti B, Gainor JF, Kehl KL, Kravets S, Dahlberg S, Nishino M, Sholl LM, Adeni A,  
3       Subegdjo S, Khosrowjerdi S, Peterson RM, Digumarthy S, Liu C, Sauter J, Rizvi H, Arbour KC,  
4       Carter BW, Heymach JV, Altan M, Hellmann MD, Awad MM (2019) Outcomes to first-line  
5       pembrolizumab in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer and very high PD-L1 expression.  
6       *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology* 30:1653-1659.
- 7   Carbone DP, Reck M, Paz-Ares L, Creelan B, Horn L, Steins M, Felip E, van den Heuvel MM, Ciuleanu  
8       TE, Badin F, Ready N, Hiltermann TJN, Nair S, Juergens R, Peters S, Minenza E, Wrangle JM,  
9       Rodriguez-Abreu D, Borghaei H, Blumenschein GR, Jr., Villaruz LC, Havel L, Krejci J, Corral  
10      Jaime J, Chang H, Geese WJ, Bhagavatheeswaran P, Chen AC, Socinski MA (2017) First-Line  
11      Nivolumab in Stage IV or Recurrent Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 376:2415-2426.
- 12   Dong ZY, Zhang C, Li YF, Su J, Xie Z, Liu SY, Yan LX, Chen ZH, Yang XN, Lin JT, Tu HY, Yang JJ, Zhou  
13      Q, Sun YL, Zhong WZ, Wu YL (2018) Genetic and Immune Profiles of Solid Predominant Lung  
14      Adenocarcinoma Reveal Potential Immunotherapeutic Strategies. *J Thorac Oncol* 13:85-96.
- 15   Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, Esteban E, Felip E, De Angelis F, Domine M, Clingan P,  
16      Hochmair MJ, Powell SF, Cheng SYS, Bischoff HG, Peled N, Grossi F, Jennens RR, Reck M, Hui  
17      R, Garon EB, Boyer M, Rubio-Viqueira B, Novello S, Kurata T, Gray JE, Vida J, Wei Z, Yang J,  
18      Raftopoulos H, Pietanza MC, Garassino MC (2018) Pembrolizumab plus Chemotherapy in  
19      Metastatic Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* 378:2078-2092.
- 20   Hellmann MD, Ciuleanu TE, Pluzanski A, Lee JS, Otterson GA, Audigier-Valette C, Minenza E, Linardou  
21      H, Burgers S, Salman P, Borghaei H, Ramalingam SS, Brahmer J, Reck M, O'Byrne KJ, Geese  
22      WJ, Green G, Chang H, Szustakowski J, Bhagavatheeswaran P, Healey D, Fu Y, Nathan F, Paz-  
23      Ares L (2018) Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Lung Cancer with a High Tumor Mutational Burden.  
24      *N Engl J Med* 378:2093-2104.
- 25   Ikematsu Y, Yoneshima Y, Ijichi K, Tanaka K, Harada T, Oda Y, Nakanishi Y, Okamoto I (2017) Marked  
26      response to pembrolizumab in a patient with pulmonary pleomorphic carcinoma highly positive  
27      for PD-L1. *Lung Cancer* 112:230-231.
- 28   Kim S, Kim MY, Koh J, Go H, Lee DS, Jeon YK, Chung DH (2015) Programmed death-1 ligand 1 and 2  
29      are highly expressed in pleomorphic carcinomas of the lung: Comparison of sarcomatous and  
30      carcinomatous areas. *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)* 51:2698-2707.
- 31   Lang D, Huemer F, Rinnerthaler G, Horner A, Wass R, Brehm E, Akbari K, Granitz M, Hutarew G, Kaiser  
32      B, Greil R, Lamprecht B (2019) Therapy Line and Associated Predictors of Response to PD-1/PD-  
33      L1-Inhibitor Monotherapy in Advanced Non-small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Retrospective Bi-centric  
34      Cohort Study. *Targeted oncology* 14:707-717.
- 35   Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Kemberling H, Eyring AD, Skora AD, Luber BS, Azad NS, Laheru  
36      D, Biedrzycki B, Donehower RC, Zaheer A, Fisher GA, Crocenzi TS, Lee JJ, Duffy SM, Goldberg  
37      RM, de la Chapelle A, Koshiji M, Bhajjee F, Huebner T, Hruban RH, Wood LD, Cuka N, Pardoll

1 DM, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler KW, Zhou S, Cornish TC, Taube JM, Anders RA, Eshleman JR,  
2 Vogelstein B, Diaz LA, Jr. (2015) PD-1 Blockade in Tumors with Mismatch-Repair Deficiency. *N*  
3 *Engl J Med* 372:2509-2520.

4 Matsuzawa R, Kirita K, Kuwata T, Umemura S, Matsumoto S, Fujii S, Yoh K, Kojima M, Niho S, Ohmatsu  
5 H, Ochiai A, Tsuboi M, Goto K, Ishii G (2016) Factors influencing the concordance of histological  
6 subtype diagnosis from biopsy and resected specimens of lung adenocarcinoma. *Lung Cancer*  
7 94:1-6.

8 Miyazawa T, Marushima H, Saji H, Kojima K, Hoshikawa M, Takagi M, Nakamura H (2019) PD-L1  
9 Expression in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Including Various Adenocarcinoma Subtypes. *Annals*  
10 *of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery : official journal of the Association of Thoracic and*  
11 *Cardiovascular Surgeons of Asia* 25:1-9.

12 Ott PA, Bang YJ, Piha-Paul SA, Razak ARA, Bennouna J, Soria JC, Rugo HS, Cohen RB, O'Neil BH,  
13 Mehnert JM, Lopez J, Doi T, van Brummelen EMJ, Cristescu R, Yang P, Emancipator K, Stein K,  
14 Ayers M, Joe AK, Lunceford JK (2019) T-Cell-Inflamed Gene-Expression Profile, Programmed  
15 Death Ligand 1 Expression, and Tumor Mutational Burden Predict Efficacy in Patients Treated  
16 With Pembrolizumab Across 20 Cancers: KEYNOTE-028. *J Clin Oncol* 37:318-327.

17 Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gumus M, Mazieres J, Hermes B, Cay Senler F, Csozsi T, Fulop  
18 A, Rodriguez-Cid J, Wilson J, Sugawara S, Kato T, Lee KH, Cheng Y, Novello S, Halmos B, Li  
19 X, Lubiniecki GM, Piperdi B, Kowalski DM, Investigators K- (2018) Pembrolizumab plus  
20 Chemotherapy for Squamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 379:2040-2051.

21 Pelosi G, Haspinger ER, Bimbatti M, Leone G, Paolini B, Fabbri A, Tamborini E, Perrone F, Testi A,  
22 Garassino M, Maisonneuve P, de Braud F, Pilotti S, Pastorino U (2014) Does  
23 immunohistochemistry affect response to therapy and survival of inoperable non-small cell lung  
24 carcinoma patients? A survey of 145 stage III-IV consecutive cases. *International journal of*  
25 *surgical pathology* 22:136-148.

26 Ready N, Hellmann MD, Awad MM, Otterson GA, Gutierrez M, Gainor JF, Borghaei H, Jolivet J, Horn L,  
27 Mates M, Brahmer J, Rabinowitz I, Reddy PS, Chesney J, Orcutt J, Spigel DR, Reck M, O'Byrne  
28 KJ, Paz-Ares L, Hu W, Zerba K, Li X, Lestini B, Geese WJ, Szustakowski JD, Green G, Chang  
29 H, Ramalingam SS (2019) First-Line Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Non-Small-Cell  
30 Lung Cancer (CheckMate 568): Outcomes by Programmed Death Ligand 1 and Tumor Mutational  
31 Burden as Biomarkers. *J Clin Oncol* 37:992-1000.

32 Reck M, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csozsi T, Fulop A, Gottfried M, Peled N, Tafreshi A,  
33 Cuffe S, O'Brien M, Rao S, Hotta K, Leiby MA, Lubiniecki GM, Shentu Y, Rangwala R, Brahmer  
34 JR, Investigators K- (2016) Pembrolizumab versus Chemotherapy for PD-L1-Positive Non-Small-  
35 Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 375:1823-1833.

36 Rekhtman N, Ang DC, Riely GJ, Ladanyi M, Moreira AL (2013) KRAS mutations are associated with solid  
37 growth pattern and tumor-infiltrating leukocytes in lung adenocarcinoma. *Mod Pathol* 26:1307-  
38 1319.

39 Rizvi H, Sanchez-Vega F, La K, Chatila W, Jonsson P, Halpenny D, Plodkowski A, Long N, Sauter JL,

1           Rekhtman N, Hollmann T, Schalper KA, Gainor JF, Shen R, Ni A, Arbour KC, Merghoub T,  
2           Wolchok J, Snyder A, Chaft JE, Kris MG, Rudin CM, Socci ND, Berger MF, Taylor BS, Zehir A,  
3           Solit DB, Arcila ME, Ladanyi M, Riely GJ, Schultz N, Hellmann MD (2018) Molecular  
4           Determinants of Response to Anti-Programmed Cell Death (PD)-1 and Anti-Programmed Death-  
5           Ligand 1 (PD-L1) Blockade in Patients With Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Profiled With Targeted  
6           Next-Generation Sequencing. *J Clin Oncol* 36:633-641.

7           Rizvi NA, Hellmann MD, Snyder A, Kvistborg P, Makarov V, Havel JJ, Lee W, Yuan J, Wong P, Ho TS,  
8           Miller ML, Rekhtman N, Moreira AL, Ibrahim F, Bruggeman C, Gasmı B, Zappasodi R, Maeda  
9           Y, Sander C, Garon EB, Merghoub T, Wolchok JD, Schumacher TN, Chan TA (2015) Cancer  
10          immunology. Mutational landscape determines sensitivity to PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung  
11          cancer. *Science (New York, NY)* 348:124-128.

12          Roszik J, Haydu LE, Hess KR, Oba J, Joon AY, Siroy AE, Karpınets TV, Stingo FC, Baladandayuthapani  
13          V, Tetzlaff MT, Wargo JA, Chen K, Forget MA, Haymaker CL, Chen JQ, Meric-Bernstam F,  
14          Eterovic AK, Shaw KR, Mills GB, Gershenwald JE, Radvanyi LG, Hwu P, Futreal PA, Gibbons  
15          DL, Lazar AJ, Bernatchez C, Davies MA, Woodman SE (2016) Novel algorithmic approach  
16          predicts tumor mutation load and correlates with immunotherapy clinical outcomes using a  
17          defined gene mutation set. *BMC medicine* 14:168.

18          Shiran I, Heller E, Jessel S, Kamer I, Daniel-Meshulam I, Navon R, Urban D, Onn A, Bar J (2017) Non-  
19          Small-cell Lung Cancer Patients With Adenocarcinoma Morphology Have a Better Outcome  
20          Compared With Patients Diagnosed With Non-Small-cell Lung Cancer Favor Adenocarcinoma.  
21          *Clinical lung cancer* 18:316-323.e311.

22          Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2018) Cancer statistics, 2018. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 68:7-  
23          30.

24          Takada K, Okamoto T, Shoji F, Shimokawa M, Akamine T, Takamori S, Katsura M, Suzuki Y, Fujishita T,  
25          Toyokawa G, Morodomi Y, Okano S, Oda Y, Maehara Y (2016) Clinical Significance of PD-L1  
26          Protein Expression in Surgically Resected Primary Lung Adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac Oncol*  
27          11:1879-1890.

28          Travis WD, Brambilla E, Burke AP, Marx A, (Eds.) AGN (2015) WHO Classification of Tumours of the  
29          Lung, Pleura, Thymus and Heart, fourth ed edn. International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon

30          Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, Nicholson AG, Geisinger KR, Yatabe Y, Beer DG, Powell CA, Riely  
31          GJ, Van Schil PE, Garg K, Austin JH, Asamura H, Rusch VW, Hirsch FR, Scagliotti G, Mitsudomi  
32          T, Huber RM, Ishikawa Y, Jett J, Sanchez-Cespedes M, Sculier JP, Takahashi T, Tsuboi M,  
33          Vansteenkiste J, Wistuba I, Yang PC, Aberle D, Brambilla C, Flieder D, Franklin W, Gazdar A,  
34          Gould M, Hasleton P, Henderson D, Johnson B, Johnson D, Kerr K, Kuriyama K, Lee JS, Miller  
35          VA, Petersen I, Roggli V, Rosell R, Saijo N, Thunnissen E, Tsao M, Yankewitz D (2011)  
36          International association for the study of lung cancer/american thoracic society/european  
37          respiratory society international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac*  
38          *Oncol* 6:244-285.

39          Ujiiie H, Kadota K, Chaft JE, Buitrago D, Sima CS, Lee MC, Huang J, Travis WD, Rizk NP, Rudin CM,

1 Jones DR, Adusumilli PS (2015) Solid Predominant Histologic Subtype in Resected Stage I Lung  
2 Adenocarcinoma Is an Independent Predictor of Early, Extrathoracic, Multisite Recurrence and of  
3 Poor Postrecurrence Survival. *J Clin Oncol* 33:2877-2884.

4 Warth A, Muley T, Meister M, Stenzinger A, Thomas M, Schirmacher P, Schnabel PA, Budczies J,  
5 Hoffmann H, Weichert W (2012) The novel histologic International Association for the Study of  
6 Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society classification system of  
7 lung adenocarcinoma is a stage-independent predictor of survival. *J Clin Oncol* 30:1438-1446.

8 Willis C, Fiander M, Tran D, Korytowsky B, Thomas JM, Calderon F, Zyczynski TM, Brixner D, Stenehjem  
9 DD (2019) Tumor mutational burden in lung cancer: a systematic literature review. *Oncotarget*  
10 10:6604-6622.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21